	Case 3:10-cv-05158-BHS	Document 2	Filed 03/10/2010	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
9	AT TACOMA				
10	NETWORK AND IT MANAGE LTD., a Cayman Islands limited	MENT			
11	partnership,		CASE NO. C10-5158BHS		
12	Plaintiff,				
13	v.		SHOW CAUSE	ORDER	
14 15	BACHOCO, S.A. DE C.V., a Me corporation,	exico			
16	Defendant.				
17	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's complaint (Dkt. 1). The Court				
18	has considered the complaint and hereby orders Plaintiff to show cause regarding				
19	Plaintiff's apparent <i>pro se</i> representation as discussed herein.				
20	On March 9, 2010, Plaintiff filed its complaint against the above captioned				
21	Defendant. Dkt. 1. The complaint is signed "May Greenberg, Manager." The complaint is				
22	not signed on behalf of Plaintiff, a business entity. It appears Plaintiff is attempting to				
23	proceed in this matter pro se.				
24	Although an individual may represent himself or herself pro se, see 28 U.S.C. §				
25	1654, the rules are clear that a business entity is not permitted to proceed <i>pro se</i> unless an				
26	exception applies. "A business entity, except a sole proprietorship, must be represented				
27	by counsel." Local Rule G(4)(B) (adopted December 1, 2009); see also, e.g., United				
28					
	ORDER - 1				

States v. High Country Broadcasting Co., Inc., 3 F.3d 1244, 1245 (9th Cir. 1993) (per curiam) (A corporation may appear in federal court only through licensed counsel); D-Beam Limited Partnership v. Roller Derby Skates, Inc., 366 F.3d 972, 973-974 (9th Cir. 2004) (applying rule to limited partnership) (reaffirming the longstanding rule recognized in *High Country Broadcasting*). Plaintiff, a business entity, has not established that any exception to this rule is applicable in this case, and the Court does not find any exception is warranted. Therefore, it is hereby **ORDERED** that Plaintiff must **SHOW CAUSE** why it is

not subject to Local Rule G(4)(B) and applicable Ninth Circuit law, as discussed above. Plaintiff must respond to this show cause order on or before March 26, 2010. Failure to respond and/or cure this deficiency will result in dismissal of Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice.

DATED this 10th day of March, 2010.

BENJAMIN H. SETTLE United States District Judge